



**Core Logic**  
2007/2008; 1st Semester  
dr Benedikt Löwe

**Homework Set # 10**

Deadline: November 21st, 2007

**Exercise 34** (7 points).

It is often said that Frege was an anti-semite. What is our source for this claim? Please describe the source with full bibliographical data (2½ points).

Frege's anti-semitism is often contrasted with his work in logic. He is sometimes mentioned as an example for a researcher with unacceptable political views that had no influence on his research. Find a scholarly source for a claim like this (2½ points).

A famous Frege expert  $X$  was shocked when he find out that Frege held anti-semitic opinions. A reviewer  $Y$  of  $X$ 's major work on Frege writes "This was a great shock for  $X$  but not for me".  $Y$  had gathered from Frege's choice of example sentences in his logical texts that he was very much in line with late XIXth century German conservative thought. Who are  $X$  and  $Y$ ? (1 point each; give bibliographic references to  $Y$ 's review and the 'major work' of  $X$ )

**Important.** In this exercise, all citations have to be sources that could also be cited in a historical research paper, i.e., published books or journal articles in respectable scientific journals. Quoting wikipedia.org or some webpage is not acceptable and will give no credit.)

**Exercise 35** (7 points).

Let  $\mathcal{L} := \{+, \cdot, 0, 1, -\}$  be the language of Boolean algebras and  $\Phi_{BA}$  be the axioms of Boolean algebras. Let

$$\varphi := \forall x \forall y \left( ((x \neq x \cdot y) \wedge (y \neq x \cdot y)) \rightarrow (x \cdot y = 0) \right),$$

$$\psi := \exists x ((x \neq 0) \wedge (x \neq 1)).$$

Let  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \Phi_2,$  and  $\Phi_3$  be the deductive closures of  $\Phi_{BA}, \Phi_{BA} \cup \{\neg\psi\}, \Phi_{BA} \cup \{\varphi\},$  and  $\Phi_{BA} \cup \{\varphi, \psi\},$  respectively. Investigate whether  $\Phi_i$  is a complete theory. If it isn't, give a formula  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma \notin \Phi_i$  and  $\neg\sigma \notin \Phi_i$ . If it is complete, give a brief argument why. (1 point each for  $\Phi_0$  and  $\Phi_1,$  2 points for  $\Phi_2,$  3 points for  $\Phi_3$ .)

**Exercise 36** (8 points).

Consider the language of arithmetic  $\mathcal{L} = \{+, \times, \dot{S}, \dot{0}, \dot{1}, \dot{<}, \dot{=}\}$  and its standard model

$$\mathbf{N} := \langle \mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, \text{succ}, 0, 1, <, = \rangle.$$

(Here succ is the successor function  $n \mapsto n + 1$ .) The language of arithmetic allows to define formulas that describe the natural numbers:

$$\chi_n(x) := x \dot{=} \underbrace{\dot{S} \dots \dot{S}}_{n \text{ times}} \dot{0}$$

We say that a set of  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentences  $T$  is an *arithmetic* if  $\mathbf{N} \models T$ . Prove that every arithmetic has a model which is not isomorphic to  $\mathbf{N}$ .

**Hint.** Define an extension  $\mathcal{L}^* := \mathcal{L} \cup \{\dot{c}\}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  where  $\dot{c}$  is a constant symbol and look at the theory  $T^* := T \cup \{\neg\chi_n(\dot{c}); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Prove that there is no value  $c$  of  $\dot{c}$  such that  $\langle \mathbf{N}, c \rangle$  is a model of  $T^*$ . Prove that  $T^*$  is consistent by using the compactness theorem. Use these two facts to prove the claim. (You may use that isomorphic models satisfy the same sentences.)