# Structural Reflection and the HOD Conjecture 4. Lecture: A consistency proof from large cardinals beyond choice

Philipp Moritz Lücke Universität Hamburg

Contemporary Set Theory Workshop – Gdansk 27. March 2025

### Introduction

#### Theorem (The HOD Dichotomy, Woodin)

If  $\delta$  is an extendible cardinal, then one of the following statements holds:

- For every singular cardinal  $\lambda > \delta$ , the cardinal  $\lambda$  is singular in HOD and  $(\lambda^+)^{\text{HOD}} = \lambda^+$  holds.
- Every regular cardinal greater than or equal to  $\delta$  is  $\omega\text{-strongly measurable in HOD.}$

#### The Weak HOD Conjecture (Woodin)

The theory

ZFC + " There is a huge cardinal above an extendible cardinal"

proves that a proper class of regular cardinals is not  $\omega\text{-strongly}$  measurable in HOD.

#### Definition (Aguilera–Bagaria–L.)

A cardinal  $\lambda$  is *exacting* if for all  $\alpha < \lambda < \beta$ , there exists

- an elementary submodel X of  $\mathrm{V}_\beta$  with  $\mathrm{V}_\lambda\cup\{\lambda\}\subseteq X,$  and
- an elementary embedding  $j : X \longrightarrow V_{\beta}$  with  $\alpha < \operatorname{crit}(j) < \lambda$ and  $j(\lambda) = \lambda$ .

#### Theorem (Aguilera–Bagaria–L.)

If  $\lambda$  is exacting, then  $\lambda$  is a singular cardinal that is regular in  $HOD_{V_{\lambda}}$ .

#### Corollary

If  $\rm ZFC$  is consistent with the existence of an exacting cardinal above an extendible cardinal, then the Weak HOD Conjecture fails.

Note that, in both ZFC-models with exacting cardinals constructed in the second lecture, there are no extendible cardinals below the given exacting cardinals.

In the following, we will start with models of ZF containing *large cardinals beyond choice* and use them to construct models of ZFC with extendible cardinals below ultraexacting cardinals.

## **Forcing Choice**

Recall that, given an infinite cardinal  $\lambda$ , the *Dependent Choice principle*  $\lambda$ -DC states that for every non-empty set D and every binary relation R with the property that for all  $s \in {}^{<\lambda}D \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , there exists  $d \in D$  with s R d, there exists a function  $f : \lambda \longrightarrow D$  with the property that

 $(f \restriction \alpha) \mathrel{R} f(\alpha)$ 

holds for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ .

It is easy to see that the Axiom of Choice is equivalent to the statement that  $\lambda$ -DC holds for every cardinal  $\lambda$ .

#### Theorem (Woodin, ZF)

If  $\delta$  is a supercompact cardinal, then there is a partial order  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq V_{\delta}$  such that the following hold:

- $\bullet \ \mathbb{Q}$  is homogeneous.
- $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\Sigma_3$ -definable without parameters in  $V_{\delta}$ .
- If G is Q-generic over V, then  $V[G]_{\delta}$  is a model of ZFC and every extendible cardinal smaller than  $\delta$  in V is extendible in  $V[G]_{\delta}$ .

- If  $\lambda < \delta$  is a cardinal in  $C^{(3)}$  and  $j : V_{\lambda+1} \longrightarrow V_{\lambda+1}$  is a non-trivial elementary embedding with first non-trivial fixed point  $\lambda$ , then there is a complete suborder  $\mathbb{P}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\mathbb{P} \subseteq V_{\lambda+1}$  and a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $\dot{\mathbb{R}}$  for a partial order such that the following statements hold:
  - $\mathbb{P}$  is homogeneous and  $\Sigma_3$ -definable without parameters in  $V_{\lambda+1}$ .
  - There is a dense embedding of  $\mathbb{Q}$  into  $\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{R}$  that maps every condition p in  $\mathbb{P}$  to  $(p, \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}})$ .
  - $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}} \Vdash ``\dot{\mathbb{R}}$  is homogeneous and  $< \check{\lambda}^+$ -closed"
  - $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}} \Vdash \check{\lambda}$ -DC
  - There is a condition p in P with the property that whenever G<sub>0</sub> is P-generic over V with p ∈ G<sub>0</sub>, then j[G<sub>0</sub>] ⊆ G<sub>0</sub> holds.

# A consistency proof from large cardinals beyond choice

#### Theorem (Aguilera–Bagaria–L., BG)

Let  $j: V \longrightarrow V$  be a non-trivial elementary embedding with first non-trivial fixed point  $\lambda \in C^{(3)}$  and let  $\delta > \lambda$  be a supercompact cardinal with  $j(\delta) = \delta$ . If  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the partial order given by Woodin's theorem and G is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -generic over V, then  $\lambda$  is an ultraexacting cardinal in  $V[G]_{\delta}$ . Let  $j: V \longrightarrow V$  be a non-trivial elementary embedding with first non-trivial fixed point  $\lambda \in C^{(3)}$ , let  $\delta > \lambda$  be a supercompact cardinal with  $j(\delta) = \delta$  and let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the partial order given by Woodin's theorem.

Pick a complete suborder  $\mathbb P$  of  $\mathbb Q$  and a  $\mathbb P\text{-name}\ \bar{\mathbb R}$  for a partial order as in the statement of Woodin's theorem.

Fix a condition p in  $\mathbb{P}$  with the property that whenever  $G_0$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over V with  $p \in G_0$ , then  $j[G_0] \subseteq G_0$  holds.

Let G be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -generic over V with  $p \in G$  and let  $G_0 * G_1$  denote the filter on  $\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{R}$  induced by G.

Then  $p \in G_0$  and  $j \upharpoonright V_{\lambda+1}$  lifts to an elementary embedding

 $j_*: V[G_0]_{\lambda+1} \longrightarrow V[G_0]_{\lambda+1}$ 

in  $V[G_0]$ .

We then know that  $\lambda$  is a limit of strongly inaccessible cardinals in  $V[G_0]$ , and it follows that  $V[G]_{\lambda}$  has cardinality  $\lambda$  in  $V[G]_{\delta}$ .

Thus, in  $V[G]_{\delta}$ , we can find  $\lambda < \eta \in C^{(2)}$  and an elementary submodel X of  $V[G]_{\eta}$  of cardinality  $\lambda$  with  $V[G]_{\lambda} \cup \{j_* \upharpoonright V[G]_{\lambda}\} \subseteq X$ .

Let  $\pi: X \longrightarrow M$  denote the corresponding transitive collapse.

Then  $M \in \mathrm{H}(\lambda^+)^{V[G]_{\delta}}$  and it follows that M is an element of  $V[G_0]$ .

The homogeneity of  $\mathbb{R}^{G_0}$  in  $V[G_0]$  then implies that whenever F is  $\mathbb{R}^{G_0}$ -generic over  $V[G_0]$ , then, in  $V[G_0, F]_{\delta}$ , we can find a cardinal  $\lambda < \zeta \in C^{(2)}$  and an elementary submodel Y of  $V[G_0, F]_{\zeta}$  such that  $V[G_0, F]_{\lambda} \cup \{\lambda\} \subseteq Y$  and the transitive collapse of Y is equal to M.

Pick a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $\dot{M}$  in V with  $\dot{M}^{G_0} = M$ .

Then, there is a condition  $p_0$  in  $G_0$  with the property that whenever  $H_0 * H_1$  is  $(\mathbb{P} * \dot{\mathbb{R}})$ -generic over V with  $p_0 \in H_0$ , then, in  $V[H_0, H_1]_{\delta}$ , we can find  $\lambda < \zeta \in C^{(2)}$  and an elementary submodel Y of  $V[H_0, H_1]_{\zeta}$  such that  $V[H_0, H_1]_{\lambda} \cup \{\lambda\} \subseteq Y$  and the transitive collapse of Y is equal to  $\dot{M}^{H_0}$ .

Since  $j(\mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{P}$ , we then have that whenever  $H_0 * H_1$  is  $(\mathbb{P} * j(\mathbb{R}))$ -generic over V with  $j(p_0) \in H_0$ , then, in  $V[H_0, H_1]_{\delta}$ , we can find  $\lambda < \zeta \in C^{(2)}$  and an elementary submodel Y of  $V[H_0, H_1]_{\zeta}$  such that  $V[H_0, H_1]_{\lambda} \cup \{\lambda\} \subseteq Y$  and the transitive collapse of Y is equal to  $j(\dot{M})^{H_0}$ .

Note also that since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is definable in  $V_{\delta}$  by a formula without parameters, and since  $j(\delta) = \delta$ , we know that  $j(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ .

By elementarity, this implies that there is a dense embedding of  $\mathbb{Q}$  into  $\mathbb{P} * j(\mathbb{R})$  in V that sends every condition q in  $\mathbb{P}$  to  $(q, \mathbb{1}_{j(\mathbb{R})})$ .

Hence, there is  $F \in V[G]$  that is  $j(\mathbb{R})^{G_0}$ -generic over  $V[G_0]$  with  $V[G] = V[G_0, F]$ .

Since  $p_0 \in G_0$  and  $j[G_0] \subseteq G_0$ , we may now conclude that, in  $V[G]_{\delta}$ , there exists  $\lambda < \zeta \in C^{(2)}$  and an elementary submodel Y of  $V[G]_{\zeta}$  with  $V[G]_{\lambda} \cup \{\lambda\} \subseteq Y$  and the transitive collapse  $\tau$  of Y is an isomorphism onto  $j(\dot{M})^{G_0}$ .

The elementary embedding  $j_*$ , being a lifting of  $j \upharpoonright V_{\lambda+1}$  to  $V[G_0]_{\lambda+1}$ , now yields that  $j_*(M) = j(\dot{M})^{G_0}$ .

This shows that

$$j_* \upharpoonright M : M \longrightarrow j(\dot{M})^{G_0}$$

is an elementary embedding in  $V[G]_{\delta}$ .

Moreover, the composition

$$i = \tau^{-1} \circ (j_* \upharpoonright M) \circ \pi : X \longrightarrow Y$$

is an elementary elementary embedding from X to  $V[G]_{\zeta}$  in  $V[G]_{\delta}$ .

Since  $\pi \upharpoonright V[G]_{\lambda} = \operatorname{id}_{V_{\lambda}}$  and  $\tau^{-1} \upharpoonright V[G]_{\lambda} = \operatorname{id}_{V[G]_{\lambda}}$ , we can conclude that

$$i \upharpoonright V[G]_{\lambda} = j_* \upharpoonright V[G]_{\lambda} \in X.$$

#### Definition (GB)

A cardinal  $\kappa$  is super Reinhardt if for every ordinal  $\alpha$ , there is an elementary embedding  $j: V \longrightarrow V$  with  $\operatorname{crit}(j) = \kappa$  and  $j(\kappa) > \alpha$ .

#### Proposition (BG)

If  $\kappa$  is super Reinhardt cardinal, then  $V_\kappa\prec V$  and there is a proper class of supercompact cardinals.

#### Theorem (Aguilera–Bagaria–L., BG)

If there is a super Reinhardt cardinal, then there is a model of  $\rm ZFC$  with an exacting cardinal above an extendible cardinal.

**Open questions** 

#### Question

Is it possible to derive the consistency of ZFC with the existence of an extendible cardinal below an exacting cardinal from the consistency of ZFC with some well-studied large cardinal axiom?

#### Question

Does the consistency of  $\rm ZFC$  with the existence of an extendible cardinal below an exacting cardinal imply the consistency of  $\rm ZFC$  with an I2-embedding?

#### Question

Is it possible to derive the consistency of ZFC with the existence of an ultraexacting cardinal below an extendible cardinal from the consistency of ZFC with some well-studied large cardinal axiom?

#### Question

Is it possible to derive the consistency of  $\rm ZFC$  with the existence of a proper class of exacting cardinals from the consistency of  $\rm ZFC$  with an I0-embedding?

#### Question

Is there a canonical strengthening of the notion of ultraexacting cardinals that is compatible with the Axiom of Choice and implies that the given cardinal is HOD-Berkeley?

#### Question

Are ultraexacting cardinals measurable in HOD?

# Thank you for listening!