

## Seminar on the h-Cobordism Theorem

# Definitions and Key Facts - Homology Theory

Christian Offen, Florian Noethen

November 11 and November 18, 2014

## 1. Homology

Let  $(C_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be abelian groups and let  $\partial_i: C_i \rightarrow C_{i-1}$  be homomorphisms such that  $\partial_i \circ \partial_{i+1} = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} C_{n-2} \longrightarrow \dots$$

is called **chain complex** and the maps  $\partial_n: C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$  are called **boundary maps**.

Elements in the kernel  $\ker \partial_n$  of a boundary map  $\partial_n$  are called  **$n$ -cycles** and elements in the image of  $\partial_n$  are called **boundaries**.

The  **$n^{\text{th}}$ -homology group** with respect to the given chain complex is defined as the quotient

$$H_n := \ker \partial_n / \text{im } \partial_{n+1}.$$

A collection of homomorphisms  $(f_i: A_i \rightarrow B_i)$  between chain complexes  $A$  and  $B$  which commute with the boundary maps, i.e.  $\partial_i^A f_{i-1} = f_i \partial_i^B$ , is called **chain map**.

## 2. Singular Homology

Let  $X$  be a topological space.

### a. Definitions

Let  $v_0, \dots, v_n$  be pairwise distinct points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $v_1 - v_0, \dots, v_n - v_0$  are linearly independent. The convex hull of  $v_0, \dots, v_n$  is denoted by  $[v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n]$  and is called  **$n$ -simplex**. If  $e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , the hull  $[e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}]$  is called **standard  $n$ -simplex**. It is denoted by  $\Delta^n$ . Subsets of the form  $[v_0, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n]$  are identified with  $\Delta^{n-1}$ . If  $n < 0$ , then  $\Delta^n = \emptyset$ .

A continuous map  $\sigma: \Delta^n \rightarrow X$  is called **singular- $n$ -simplex**. A finite formal sum  $\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}$  of singular- $n$ -simplices  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  is called **singular- $n$ -chain**.

By  $\Delta_n(X)$  one denotes the free abelian group consisting of all singular- $n$ -chains. For  $n \geq 1$  a group homomorphism

$$\partial_n: \Delta_n(X) \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}(X)$$

is defined by

$$\partial_n(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^n \sigma_{[v_0, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n]}.$$

For  $n \leq 0$  let  $\partial_n = 0$ . The sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \Delta_2(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \Delta_1(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \Delta_0(X) \xrightarrow{0} 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \dots$$

is called **(singular) chain complex** and the quotient

$$H_n(X) = \ker \partial_n / \text{im } \partial_{n+1}$$

is called the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -**(singular) homology group of  $X$** .

## b. Key Facts

**Proposition.** If  $(X_\beta)_\beta$  are the path-components of  $X$ , then for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$H_n(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\beta} H_n(X_\beta).$$

**Proposition.** If  $X$  is non-empty and path-connected, then  $H_0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $X$  be path-connected and let  $\pi_1(X)$  denote the fundamental group of  $X$ . Moreover let  $[\pi_1(X), \pi_1(X)]$  be the commutator subgroup of  $\pi_1(X)$ . Then

$$H_1(X) \cong \pi_1(X) / [\pi_1(X), \pi_1(X)].$$

**Theorem.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Every continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  induces a homomorphism  $f_*: H_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(Y)$ . If  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  is another continuous map homotopic to  $f$ , then  $f_* = g_*$ .

**Corollary.** A homotopy equivalence  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  induces an isomorphism  $f_*: H_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(Y)$ .

# 3. Homological Algebra

## a. Definitions

Let  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be groups and  $f_n: G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1}$  be homomorphisms for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow G_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} G_n \xrightarrow{f_n} G_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} G_{n-2} \longrightarrow \dots$$

is called **exact** if and only if the identity  $\text{im } f_{n+1} = \ker f_n$  holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

An exact sequence of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow G_3 \xrightarrow{f_3} G_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} G_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

is called a **short exact sequence**.

Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & A_n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & A_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \dots \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B_n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \dots \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \dots \end{array}$$

be chain complexes with homology groups denoted by  $H_n(A)$ ,  $H_n(B)$  and  $H_n(C)$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $i_k$  and  $j_k$  be homomorphisms such that the sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow A_k \xrightarrow{i_k} B_k \xrightarrow{j_k} C_k \longrightarrow 0$$

are exact for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & A_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & A_n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & A_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \dots \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & & \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B_n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \dots \\ & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow j & & \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & C_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e.  $i$  and  $j$  are chain maps, then the chain complexes together with the chain maps are called **short exact sequence of chain complexes**.

### b. Key Fact

**Theorem.** A short exact sequence of chain complexes induces a **long exact sequence** of homology groups

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_{n+1}(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{n+1}(B) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_{n+1}(C) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_n(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n(B) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_n(C) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(A) \longrightarrow \dots$$

## 4. Relative and Reduced Homology

### a. Definitions

For a subset  $A \subset X$  we have  $\Delta_n(A) \subset \Delta_n(X)$ . The boundary map of  $X$  induces a boundary map on the quotient  $\Delta_n(X)/\Delta_n(A)$ . The associated homology groups are called **relative homology groups** and are denoted by  $H_n(X, A)$ . Note that by definition  $H_n(X, \emptyset) = H_n(X)$ .

The chain complex given by the sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \Delta_2(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \Delta_1(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \Delta_0(X) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \dots$$

is called **augmented (singular) chain complex** and its homology groups  $\tilde{H}_n(X)$  are called **reduced (singular) homology groups**, where  $\epsilon$  is defined as  $\epsilon(\sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha} n_{\alpha}$ .

Reduced homology can be understood as subtracting one  $\mathbb{Z}$ -factor in dimension 0. If  $X$  is non-empty, then  $\tilde{H}_n(X) = H_n(X)$  for  $n \neq 0$  and  $H_0(X) \cong \tilde{H}_0(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .

For  $\emptyset \neq A \subset X$  one defines  $\tilde{H}_n(X, A) := H_n(X, A)$ .

The pair  $(X, A)$  is called **good**, if  $A$  is closed in  $X$  and there exists a neighborhood of  $A$  which deformation retracts to  $A$ .

## b. Key Fact

**Theorem.** We have a **long exact sequence of a pair**  $(X, A)$  in singular homology.

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_n(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n(X) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_n(X, A) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(A) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Here  $i : A \hookrightarrow X$  is the inclusion and  $j : \Delta_n(X) \longrightarrow \Delta_n(X)/\Delta_n(A)$  the quotient map.

**Proposition.** The long exact sequence of a pair  $(X, A)$  in singular homology also holds for reduced homology.

**Proposition.** If  $(X, A)$  is a good pair, the quotient map  $q : X \longrightarrow X/A$  induces an isomorphism

$$q_* : H_n(X, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_n(X/A, A/A) \cong \tilde{H}_n(X/A)$$

**Theorem.** For good pairs, there is an exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_n(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} \tilde{H}_n(X) \xrightarrow{q_*} \tilde{H}_n(X/A) \xrightarrow{\partial} \tilde{H}_{n-1}(A) \longrightarrow \dots$$

**Corollary.** The reduced homology of the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$  is given by

$$\tilde{H}_k(S^n) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } k = n \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq n \end{cases}$$

**Corollary.** Given topological spaces  $X_\alpha$  with base points  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ , such that  $(X_\alpha, \{x_\alpha\})$  are good pairs, the inclusions  $i_\alpha : X_\alpha \hookrightarrow \bigvee_\beta X_\beta$  induce an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_\alpha (i_\alpha)_* : \bigoplus_\alpha \tilde{H}_n(X_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{H}_n\left(\bigvee_\alpha X_\alpha\right)$$

**Theorem (Excision).** Let  $Z \subset A \subset X$  such that  $\bar{Z} \subset \text{int}(A)$ . Assuming this, the inclusion  $(X \setminus Z, A \setminus Z) \hookrightarrow (X, A)$  induces an isomorphism

$$H_n(X \setminus Z, A \setminus Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_n(X, A)$$

**Theorem (Mayer-Vietoris Sequence).** Let  $A, B \subset X$  such that  $\text{int}(A) \cup \text{int}(B) = X$ . Then we have a long exact sequence with homomorphisms induced by the inclusions

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_n(A \cap B) \xrightarrow{(i_A)_* \oplus (i_B)_*} H_n(A) \oplus H_n(B) \xrightarrow{(j_A)_* - (j_B)_*} H_n(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(A \cap B) \longrightarrow \dots$$

## 5. Cellular Homology

### a. Definitions

In this section  $X$  always is a CW-complex. We denote its  $n$ -skeleton by  $X^n$ .

Consider the boundary map  $\partial_{n+1} : H_{n+1}(X^{n+1}, X^n) \longrightarrow H_n(X^n)$  from the long exact sequence of the pair  $(X^{n+1}, X^n)$  and the map  $j_n : H_n(X^n) \longrightarrow H_n(X^n, X^{n-1})$ , induced from the quotient map, in the long exact sequence of the pair  $(X^n, X^{n-1})$ . Let  $d_{n+1} := j_n \partial_{n+1}$  be the composition. We get a chain complex

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_{n+1}(X^{n+1}, X^n) \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} H_n(X^n, X^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{d_n} H_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

called **cellular chain complex**. It's homology is called **cellular homology**.

## b. Key Facts

**Lemma.** The following statements hold true for singular homology

- a)  $H_k(X^n, X^{n-1})$  is 0 for  $k \neq n$  and free abelian with a basis in one-to-one correspondence with the  $n$ -cells of  $X$  for  $k = n$ .
- b) For  $k > n$  we have  $H_k(X^n) = 0$ .
- c) For  $k < n$  the inclusion  $i : X^n \hookrightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism  $i_* : H_k(X^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_k(X)$ .

**Proposition.** The cellular chain complex as defined above is indeed a chain complex, i.e.  $d_n d_{n+1} = 0$ .

**Theorem.** Cellular homology is isomorphic to singular homology.

**Corollary.** Cellular homology is independent of the choice of a particular CW-structure for  $X$ .

**Corollary.**

- i)  $H_n(X) = 0$  if  $X$  has no  $n$ -cells.
- ii) The number of generators of  $H_n(X)$  is at most the number of  $n$ -cells in  $X$ .
- iii) If  $X$  has no two cells in adjacent dimensions, then  $H_n(X) \cong H_n(X^n, X^{n-1})$ .

Using the identification of  $H_n(X^n, X^{n-1})$  with the free abelian group generated by the  $n$ -cells  $e_\alpha^n$ , we can compute the boundary map  $d_n$  differently.

**Proposition.** Let  $d_{\alpha\beta}$  be the degree of the map  $S_\alpha^{n-1} \rightarrow X^{n-1} \rightarrow S_\beta^{n-1}$  that is the composition of the attaching map of  $e_\alpha^n$  restricted to  $\partial e_\alpha^n = S_\alpha^{n-1}$  with the quotient map collapsing  $X^{n-1} \setminus \text{int}(e_\beta^{n-1})$  to a point. Then the boundary map can be computed using the formula  $d_n(e_\alpha^n) = \sum_\beta d_{\alpha\beta} e_\beta^{n-1}$ .

While our presentation mostly stems from Hatcher's book, we found Bredon taking a more general approach to the topic, which has some nice results on how to compute degrees of maps  $S^n \rightarrow S^n$ .

## References

- (1) G. Bredon, *Topology and Geometry*, Springer GTM 139, 1993
- (2) A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, <http://www.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/AT/ATpage.html>